Massachusetts Institute of Technology End of Term Subject Evaluation Report

llity of Teaching (7 - Point Scale)	# of resp.	mean	Stand dev.
1. Stimulated my interest in the subject	11	6.18	1.08
2. Gave well-organized presentations	11	5.64	1.03
3. Encouraged me to take a role in my learning	10	6.00	1.05
4. Managed discussions well	10	5.70	1.06
5. Encouraged questions & class participation	11	6.64	0.67
6. Answered questions well	11	6.27	0.79
7. Used education media (e.g., blackboard) well	10	6.00	0.94
8. Was available outside of class	10	5.30	1.06
9. Overall rating of teaching	10	6.40	0.84
ject Content (7 - Point Scale)	granica de la manda de manda de constante de	tit kija in distribut der andronjels a andronsjels a andris	Arritismos militares mistrates
ELECTRONIC RESOURCES	# of resp.	mean	Stand dev.
10. Instructional software: Ease of Access	2	5.50	2.12
Effectiveness	0		
11. Web-based materials: Ease of Access	7	6.29	1.11
Effectiveness	2	7.00	0.00
12. Other (e.g., video, film): Ease of Access	2	5.50	2.12
Effectiveness	0		
ASSIGNMENTS			
13. Reading assignments contributed to my learning	11	6.18	0.75
14. Instructor's comments on written work helped me improve my wr	iting 6	6.00	1.26
15. Instructor's comments on written work helped me understand the	CONCURSOR CANADA O CANADA O CONTRA PORTA DE PARA DE P	6.20	1.30
16. Writing assignments contributed to my learning	9	5.44	1.67
17. Formal oral assignments contributed to my learning	8	6.13	1.13
18. Exams fairly assessed knowledge of subject material	6	5.33	2.07
19. Reading assignments (1 = too little, 7 = too much)	11	4.36	1.43
20. Writing assignments (1 = too short, $7 = \text{too long}$)	10	4.30	0.67
21. Writing assignments (1 = too few, 7 = too many)	9	3.89	0.60
ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING			
22. I was well prepared to take this subject before the term began	11	5.36	1.21
23. I found it difficult to master the subject material	11	3.55	1.75
24. I understand the subject concepts well			pular de la companya
25. Average hours spent on subject per week:	11	5.27	1.27
in class	11	3.09	0.30
reading and preparing for class	11	5.09	2.07
on papers and projects	<u>9</u>	7.56	8.66
26. Learning expectations were made clear		5.50	1.72
27. Grading was fair	8	5.63	1.41
28. Overall rating of the subject (1 = very poor, 7 = to excellent)	11	5.91	1.14
SUBJECT SPECIFIC QUESTIONS			
29. Subject Specific Question	0		
30. Subject Specific Question	0		tree et more reconstructive de la constructive de l
31. Subject Specific Question	0		discommende a communicación de minera
32. Subject Specific Question	0		**************************************
33. Subject Specific Question	0		ол/оненторопиния голинического